Post-epizootic chronic dolphin morbillivirus infection in Mediterranean striped dolphins
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ABSTRACT: Dolphin morbillivirus (DMV) has caused 2 epizootics with high mortality rates on the Spanish Mediterranean coast, in 1990 and 2006–07, mainly affecting striped dolphins Stenella coeruleoalba. Following the first epizootic unusual DMV infections affecting only the central nervous system of striped dolphins were found, with histological features similar to subacute sclerosing panencephalitis and old dog encephalitis, the chronic latent localised infections caused by defective forms of measles virus and canine distemper virus, respectively. Between 2008 and 2010, monitoring by microscopic and immunohistochemical (IHC) studies of 118 striped dolphins stranded along Catalonia, the Valencia Region and Andalusia showed similar localised DMV nervous system infections in 25.0, 28.6 and 27.4% of cases, respectively, with no significant differences among regions or sex. The body length of DMV-infected dolphins was statistically greater than that of non-infected dolphins (196.5 vs. 160.5 cm; p < 0.001). Molecular detection of DMV was performed by 2 different RT-PCR techniques amplifying a 429 bp fragment and a 78 bp fragment both within the phosphoprotein (P) gene. The 429 bp RT-PCR results contradicted the IHC-DMV results as only 3 of 6 dolphins with positive IHC-DMV had positive PCR results. All 6 cases were positive with the 78 bp RT-PCR. These findings contraindicate the use of the 429 bp RT-PCR protocol based on the P gene to detect this specific form of DMV. DMV localised nervous infection constitutes the most relevant single cause of stranding and death in Mediterranean striped dolphins in the years following a DMV epizootic, and it might even overwhelm the effects of the epizootic itself, at least in 2007.
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INTRODUCTION

Two dolphin morbillivirus (DMV) epizootics starting in 1990 and 2006–07 have caused massive die-offs of Mediterranean striped dolphins *Stenella coeruleoalba*, as well as of other cetacean species in the Mediterranean and Black Seas (Domingo et al. 1990, 1992, Aguilar & Raga 1993, Birkun et al. 1999, Fernández et al. 2008, Gauffier 2008, Raga et al. 2008, Keck et al. 2010, Soto et al. 2011a). During 2006 and 2007 the second (and less severe) DMV epizootic affected long-finned pilot whales *Globicephala melas*, as well as striped dolphins in Spanish Mediterranean waters (Fernández et al. 2008, Gauffier 2008, Raga et al. 2008). The epizootic started in October 2006 in pilot whales in the Strait of Gibraltar, and spread eastwards and northwards, arriving in the Valencia region and Balearic Islands in April 2007. Infected striped dolphins were found in early July 2007 along the coast of the Valencia region and Catalonia. DMV-affected cetaceans showed systemic infection with pathologic changes similar to those seen in the 1990 epizootic, characterised by bronchiolo-interstitial pneumonia, lymphoid depletion and non-suppurative encephalitis (Domingo et al. 1992, Duignan et al. 1992, Raga et al. 2008, Soto et al. 2011a). Secondary opportunistic infections, such as systemic toxoplasmosis, mycotic respiratory and brain infections, and herpesvirus infections (Domingo et al. 1992, Bellière et al. 2010, Soto et al. 2011b) were associated with the epizootics. In the follow-up to the 1990 epizootic, unusual forms of chronic DMV infection affecting only the central nervous system (CNS) were found in 5 of 27 (18.5%) striped dolphins submitted for necropsy between January 1991 and May 1994 from the Catalonian coast (Domingo et al. 1995). Since 2007, the monitoring of DMV infection has continued, including most coastal areas of the Spanish Mediterranean (Andalusia, Valencia Region and Catalonia), and, again, forms of localised DMV infection restricted to the CNS have been identified. We report here on the epidemiological data available for these cases, the main pathological findings and the molecular diagnosis of this disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Necropsy and sampling

From January 2008 to December 2010, 118 Mediterranean striped dolphins *Stenella coeruleoalba* in a state of good preservation were necropsied, 20 from the Catalanian, 14 from the Valencia region and 84 from Andalusian waters. Location of stranding, body length and sex were recorded for most of the dolphins. Necropsy and sampling were done by local organisations (conservation and research groups) working in these 3 regions. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue slides were received from cases in Andalusia; formalin-fixed tissues and some frozen tissues were received from cases in Valencia; whereas cases occurring in Catalonia were necropsied at the Veterinary School of Barcelona; thus, a wide sampling of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples, as well as frozen tissues conserved at −20°C were available for study. From all these cases, the following organs considered to be targets of DMV infection (Domingo et al. 1992), were available for histopathologic and immunohistochemical (IHC) studies: lung (110/118), lymph nodes (prescapular, lung-associated and/or mesenteric lymph nodes, 105/118) and brain (118/118). Brain sampling locations varied, including the cerebral cortex in 109/118 dolphins, the cerebellar cortex in 93/118 dolphins and other brain sites in 65/118 dolphins. Formalin-fixed tissues were embedded in paraffin and routinely processed for histopathology; 4 µm sections were prepared, deparaffinised and stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

Immunohistochemistry

IHC detection of DMV was performed on brain tissue and, when available, also on samples of lung and lymph nodes. A mouse monoclonal antibody against the canine distemper virus nucleoprotein (Ref. NP 050505 VMRD, Pullman, WA, USA), known to react with DMV (Raga et al. 2008), was used as the primary antibody at a dilution of 1:200 in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) with 2% bovine albumin. The technique was performed as previously described (Raga et al. 2008). Lung sections from a DMV-infected dolphin were used as a positive control in each test. Duplicate tissue sections were also incubated with TBS instead of the primary antibody as a negative control.

Molecular detection of DMV

Molecular detection of DMV was performed by RT-PCR on tissues from 17 dolphins: 6 from Catalanian coasts and 11 from Valencian coasts. From all these cases, the following organs considered to be targets
of DMV infection (Domingo et al. 1992) were investigated: lung (10/17), lymph nodes (prescapular, pulmonary and/or mesenteric lymph nodes, 12/17) and brain (cerebral cortex, 17/17).

Approximately 1 g of tissue was homogenised in 10 ml of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM). The RNA was extracted from tissue homogenates using the RNA viral isolation kit Nucleospin II according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Macherey-Nagel Laboratory).

DMV molecular detection was performed by 2 different 1-step RT-PCR techniques that amplified a 429 bp fragment (Barrett et al. 1993) and a 78 bp fragment (Krafft et al. 1995, Saliki et al. 2002), both within the phosphoprotein (P) gene. All the previously frozen samples detailed above were studied using the RT-PCR for the longer fragment. The RT-PCR for the 78 bp fragment was only performed in brain tissue from dolphins showing DMV immunopositivity in the brain. One negative control and one positive control of DMV provided by M. Baron (Institute for Animal Health, Pirbright Laboratory, UK) were included.

Statistical analysis

In order to investigate significant association of IHC-DMV positivity with variables such as sex, length, etc., a descriptive analysis including frequencies and proportions for categorical variables and median and ranges for numerical variables was performed. Univariate analysis was carried out using a Pearson Chi-squared test for categorical variables such as sex, month, year and region of stranding, whereas a non-parametric analysis using a Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test was applied to compare the body length of *Stenella coeruleoalba* specimens between the IHC-DMV positives and IHC-DMV negatives. Thereafter the effect on morbillivirus positivity of all these factors (i.e. sex, body length, month, year and region of stranding) was assessed using a binary logistic regression model to account for possible remaining confounders or interactions. All the variables with \( p < 0.1 \) (2-sided) in the univariate analysis were tested further by the model. Variables were excluded from the model using a backward-stepwise approach. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software Version 17 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Inc.).

RESULTS

Epidemiological and pathological findings

Monitoring by histopathology and immunohistochemistry of stranded and necropsied striped dolphins *Stenella coeruleoalba* in the period from 2008 to 2010 showed DMV infection in 32 out of 118 (27.1%) dolphins, with the first affected dolphin found stranded in Andalusia in May 2008. DMV-antigen and DMV-related lesions were restricted solely to the CNS. The distribution, by provincial administrative divisions, of strandings and DMV-infected dolphins is shown in Fig. 1. The percentage of DMV cases in Andalusia was 27.4, on the Valencia region coast was 28.6 and in Catalonia was 25.0. The proportion of positives did not differ significantly among regions. The annual incidence for these 3 regions is shown in Table 1. The number of positive animals seemed to decrease in 2010 compared to 2008 and 2009; however, this trend was not statistically significant \( (p = 0.311) \), which was probably due to the small sample size in 2010.

![Fig. 1. *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Spatial distribution of total and positive striped dolphins immunohistochemically tested for dolphin morbillivirus (DMV) between 2008 and 2010 on Spanish Mediterranean coasts. The percentages of DMV-positivity detected by province are presented in brackets. The Catalanian coast includes the littoral of Gerona, Barcelona and Tarragona provinces; the Valencia region includes the littoral of Castellón, Valencia and Alicante provinces and Andalusian waters include the littoral of Almería, Granada, Málaga, Cádiz and Huelva provinces](image)
The sex was recorded for 112 dolphins, of which 61 (54.5%) were males and 51 (45.5%) females. From these individuals, 20% of the males and 27% of the females were positive for DMV according to IHC. These proportions did not show significant differences at the 5% level of significance ($\chi^2 = 0.058; p = 0.810$).

The median length of IHC-DMV-negative dolphins was 160.5 cm (with a range between 70.0 and 225.0 cm), whereas the median length of IHC-DMV-positive dolphins was 196.5 cm (with a range between 123.0 and 290.0 cm). On the basis of the results of the Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test calculated, the IHC-DMV-positive striped dolphins were longer than the negative dolphins, and this difference could be statistically inferred at the 5% level with a $p < 0.001$.

With the aim of describing the relationship between all the explanatory variables considered (i.e. sex, month, length, region and year) and the DMV immunopositivity, further analysis was performed using a binary logistic regression model. In concurrence with the previous results obtained by univariate analysis, of all explanatory variables included in the model, only body length could be associated with the presence of the chronic lesions caused by DMV ($p < 0.05$).

Primary histopathological findings were limited to the brain, and consisted of multifocal, bilaterally asymmetrical, non-suppurative meningoencephalitis (Fig. 2) of variable intensity, with mononuclear perivascular cuffs, gliosis and glial nodules with neuronophagia, and spongiosis. In general, white matter was affected only mildly, but spongiosis and gliosis were evident in those regions immediately beneath the most affected grey matter. Cytoplasmic or nuclear eosinophilic inclusions were occasionally detected. Syncytial cells were not observed. DMV immunolabelling was found only in the CNS; systemic morbilliviral infection, as observed during epizootics, was not observed in any of the dolphins examined in the 2008 to 2010 period. DMV nucleoprotein antigen was found in neuronal perikarya, axons and dendritic processes, and occasionally in glial cells. Staining in the neocortex was stronger in the deeper layers, highlighting many pyramidal-shaped neurons (Fig. 3); the staining intensity was not distributed evenly among the different circumvolutions. Positive staining was also found in glial cells. In the white matter, positivity was confined to axons (occasionally dystrophic) and glial cells.

**RT-PCR results**

Frozen samples from 6 IHC-DMV-positive and 11 IHC-DMV-negative dolphins stranded between 2008 and 2010 were investigated by RT-PCR for DMV. A specific 429 bp P gene fragment was amplified from brain tissue in 3 out of the 6 IHC-DMV-positive dolphins. IHC-DMV-negative dolphins and non-nervous tissues were negative in all cases. Surprisingly, no band was detected through RT-PCR of the brain of the 3 IHC-DMV-positive dolphins, in spite of strong immunostaining and the absence of autolysis. A second RT-PCR targeting a smaller fragment of 78 bp within the P gene was positive in the brain samples of all 6 IHC-DMV-positive dolphins, confirming the presence of DMV nucleic acid in all these cases.

**DISCUSSION**

The Mediterranean Sea provides a unique epidemiological environment regarding morbillivirus circulation in cetaceans, with 2 DMV epizootics 16 yr apart (Domingo et al. 1990, Raga et al. 2008, Keck et al. 2010, Soto et al. 2011a). Available evidence suggests that DMV has not circulated in Stenella coeruleoalba between the 2 epizootics (Soto et al. 2011a), and it appears that DMV has not established itself in an endemic infection cycle in the western Mediterranean striped dolphin population. The decline in the proportion of seropositive dolphins in the years following the 1990 epizootic (Van Bressem et al. 2001) is consistent with this view. Therefore, at least in the western Mediterranean Sea, systemic DMV cases in S. coeruleoalba occurred from July to December after the beginning of the epizootic, and we consider that the epizootic faded out along the
Catalonian coast in December 2007 (Soto et al. 2011a).

Similar to the previous epizootic in the Mediterranean Sea (Domingo et al. 1995), the data presented here show that the 2007 epizootic was followed by the occurrence of localised forms of DMV infection restricted to the CNS.

These cases have been recognised through intensive monitoring and a clear chronological separation of systemic and CNS-localised forms of the disease. Therefore, we believe that morbillivirus infection in Mediterranean striped dolphins can occur in 2 different forms: (1) systemic cases, probably of a more acute nature, associated with massive die-offs during the epizootic period and characterised by systemic lesions (bronchiolo-interstitial pneumonia, lymphoid depletion and non-suppurative encephalitis, with DMV-immunopositivity in all affected organs) and (2) CNS-localised cases, subacute or chronic in nature, found stranded in the months to years following the epizootic. These cases characteristically showed brain inflammatory lesions, with the presence of DMV restricted to the brain. The absence of virus in other organs considered target tissues for DMV in epizootics, such as lung or lymph nodes, was confirmed by investigation of these organs with RT-PCR. The fact that non-nervous tissues were spared in these chronic cases suggests that DMV is not excreted and, therefore, that dolphins affected by this form of DMV disease do not transmit the virus to other marine mammals.

Since 2007 the monitoring of epizootics has been improved, including IHC-DMV detection and RT-PCR, and also has been extended to cover a larger geographic area, including not only Catalonian strandings (as in 1990), but also strandings from Andalusia and the Valencia region, comprising almost the whole Spanish Mediterranean coast. This has allowed us to show that the occurrence of DMV-

Fig. 2. *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Haematoxylin and eosin stain of the caudate nucleus of Case N-779/10. Encephalitis with non-suppurative perivascular cuffs and increased glial cell numbers.

Fig. 3. *Stenella coeruleoalba*. Immunostaining against dolphin morbillivirus (DMV) in a serial slide of the same area shown in Fig. 2. Note the massive presence of DMV antigen within the neuronal pericaria. The cell nuclei were not always stained. The punctate staining in the neuropile corresponds to dendrites and axons. The 429 bp RT-PCR for the phosphoprotein (P) gene in brain tissue was negative in this case, in spite of strong immunostaining and the absence of autolysis. A second RT-PCR targeting a smaller fragment (78 bp) within the P gene was positive in this brain sample.
CNS-restricted cases is a widespread phenomenon in the western Mediterranean Sea and not a geographically restricted event. This extended monitoring has also allowed us to measure the global impact of this localised form of DMV disease in the Spanish Mediterranean striped dolphin population, which was found in 27.1% of the necropsied and investigated dolphins in the first 3 yr period after the epizootic; this represents an added mortality of 37% over the background mortality without DMV. The proportion of cases apparently declined in 2010, with respect to the 2 previous years, and if occurrence of DMV-CNS-localised cases follows the same temporal pattern as after the 1990 epizootic, it is to be expected that no further cases will be detected after 2012. This form of the DMV disease constitutes the most relevant single cause of stranding and death in Mediterranean striped dolphins along the Spanish coast in the years following a DMV epizootic, and, in the long term, it might even surpass the effects of the epizootic itself; this appeared to be true for 2007 at least.

There are some similarities between this form of DMV-CNS localised disease and subacute sclerosing panencephalitis (SSPE) in humans. SSPE is a rare chronic and fatal disease in humans, which is caused by a replicative defective measles virus (MV); after infection early in life it persists in a latent form in the CNS (Connolly et al. 1967, Garg 2008). The infection is reactivated several years (2 to 10 yr) after the primary infection, causing fatal nervous lesions. A similar type of chronic latent infection has also been identified in dogs, known as old dog encephalitis (ODE), caused by an equally replicative defective canine distemper virus (CDV). Both SSPE and ODE are very rare diseases (Garg 2008, Headley et al. 2009). In striped dolphins, we hypothesize that a temporal and pathogenic pattern occurs similar to that found in SSPE and ODE. DMV-CNS-localised cases are derived from non-lethal infections taking place during the epizootic, and the virus remains latent in the brain for months to years before reactivation. Factors leading to the reactivation of CNS-morbilliviral infection are still unknown in humans, dogs and dolphins. Analogous to SSPE, DMV was absent in non-nervous tissues in our study, and, as in SSPE, horizontal transmission does not seem to occur.

Some differences exist between the epidemiology of SSPE and the DMV-CNS-localised disease found in Stenella coeruleoalba. First, the higher risk for males found in SSPE, with a male:female ratio of 2:1 (Halsey et al. 1980, Garg 2008), is not seen in striped dolphins, in which males and females are found to be equally affected by chronic forms of DMV-CNS. Secondly, the incidence of DMV-CNS-localised disease in dolphins seems to be very high compared to that of SSPE in humans. In striped dolphins, an epizootic event might cause thousands of new infections in a short period of time within a specific area, thus leading to the occurrence of a much higher incidence of DMV-CNS-localised disease in dolphins in the years following an epizootic event. In humans, SSPE is estimated to occur at a proportional morbidity rate of 4 to 11 cases of SSPE per 100 000 cases of measles (Campbell et al. 2007). The striped dolphin population has been estimated in central Spanish Mediterranean waters during the period from 2001 to 2003 to be 15 778 individuals (95% CI = 10 940 to 22 756) by line transect sampling (Gómez de Segura et al. 2006) and 16 892 animals (CV = 0.16) by spatial modelling methods (Gómez de Segura et al. 2007). In a hypothetical scenario according to which the whole population would have been exposed to DMV in the last epizootic, the proportional morbidity rate of DMV-CSN-localised infection in dolphins for the first striped dolphin abundance estimation would be equivalent to 141 and 293 cases per 100 000 cases. A possible explanation for this difference could be that the striped dolphin shows a higher predisposition than other species for suffering chronic latent DMV infections in nervous tissue. Testing of this hypothesis is, however, hampered by the profound lack of knowledge on the pathogenesis and triggering factors of this disease in dolphins. In humans, the incidence of SSPE ishigher in children infected by MV at an early age (Jabbour et al. 1972), and a possible role of maternal antibodies has been hypothesized for this increased risk, due to the fact that the antibodies appear to generate persistent infection and modulate acute infection in vitro in cell cultures (Fujinami & Oldstone 1979, Schneider-Schaulies et al. 1992). However, direct evidence for this suggestion is lacking (Rima & Duprex 2005).

From our study, the only risk factor for DMV-CSN-localised disease in striped dolphins appears to be the body length of the animals, with affected dolphins being longer (thus older) than non-affected ones. We are not able to offer an explanation for this finding. One hypothesis would be that dolphins with a residual, weak immune response to DMV resulting from the first epizootic in 1990 could be more susceptible to developing DMV-CNS-localised disease when confronted with the virus on a second occasion.

If SSPE-like disease occurs in dolphins, mutations and/or deletions similar to those described for SSPE-MV strains may be found in DMV. In SSPE, major changes have been found in the P, M, F and H genes,
in the form of mutations and deletions that alter the functionality of these proteins (Cattaneo et al. 1989, Wong et al. 1989, Schmid et al. 1992, Hirano et al. 1993, Garg 2008). Interestingly, 3 of 6 of our DMV-CNS-localised cases were negative according to a RT-PCR targeting a 429 bp fragment of the P gene (Barrett et al. 1993), but were positive with another RT-PCR targeting a much smaller fragment of 78 bp (Krafft et al. 1995, Saliki et al. 2002). Whether this is due to a mismatching of a primer or to deletions on the gene is unknown, but clearly contraindicates the use of any RT-PCR protocol based on RT-PCR targeting the 429 bp described by Barrett et al. (1993) to detect this specific form of DMV disease. Sequencing of DMV-CNS-localised cases in dolphins and comparison of obtained sequences with those published for DMV isolated from systemic cases during the epizootics will reveal whether the changes present in the sequence of SSPE-MV are also found in DMV-CNS-localised cases. This work is in progress in our laboratory.
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